

THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA, PHILADELPHIA COUNTY
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

BRANHAM

vs.

ROHM & HAAS CO., et al.

DOCKETED

JUN 2 2010

S. LONERGAN

: TRIAL DIVISION- CIVIL
: MAY TERM, 2006
: No. 3590 (Lead Case)
:
: Control #10051104 ✓
: Control #10051105 ✓
: Defendants' Motions in Limine to
: Preclude Portions of Testimony of
: Plaintiffs' Expert Witnesses

ORDER

AND NOW, this 2nd day of May, 2010, this Court has pending two (2) Motions in Limine under Control # 10051104 and #10051105. Both Motions filed by Defendant Rohm and Haas Co., seek to preclude portions of the testimony of Plaintiff's Expert Witnesses, J. Gregory Hill and Richard C. Fortuna, respectively.

Because Defendants' proposals to limit or preclude such testimony are bereft of any actual trial context, it is not possible to conduct a meaningful review or evaluation of the merits of such motion. Therefore, these Motions are denied without prejudice to Defendant to review such Motions at the time of the Experts' trial testimony on discrete issues raised there and then.

COPIES SENT *FAXED*
PURSUANT TO Pa.R.C.P. 236(b)
JUN 2 - 2010
FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF PA
USER I.D.: *[Signature]*

BY THE COURT:

Tereshko
ALLAN L. TERESHKO, J.

Braham Vs Rohm&Haas Co -ORDER



06050359000506

cc:

Aaron J. Freiwald, Esq.
Carl A. Solano/ Samuel W. Silver/Nilam A. Sanghvi